



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/788,478	03/01/2004	Jean-Pierre Julien	1770-300"US"-1 VC/dm	7549		
	20988	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER			
		OGILVY RENAULT LLP 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
SUITE 1600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		QC H3A2Y3		1614			
	CANADA			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/788,478	JULIEN ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)□ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	f Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application			·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Clai							
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊡ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application F	apers						
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•	Examiner.				
	icant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	I b) Some * c) None of:		, (5) 5. (1).				
1.		ts have been received.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	1 1			
3.				E			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See t	he attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•	· ·	•				
Attachment(s)	deferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date <u>5-28-04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/788,478

Art Unit: 1614

An Information Disclosure Statement filed May 28, 2004 is acknowledged and has been reviewed.

Claims 1-10 are presented and represent all of the claims under consideration.

The disclosure is objected to for the following informalities: In claim 1 the term "antiglutaminergic" is incorrectly spelled. In claims 1 and 7 the term "consisting" appears to be inadvertently omitted.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yrjanheikki et al., Proc. National Academy of Sciences, and Lai et al., U.S. Patent 6,710,086.

Yrjanheikki teaches the administration of lipid-soluble tetracyclines, such as doxycycline and minocycline, that inhibit microglial activation through anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects, for use in the treatment of stroke. See the Abstract. Lai teaches the administration of doxycycline hyclate or minocycline hydrochloride for use in the treatment of stroke. See column 7, lines 16-17 and 30, as well as column 19, line 37, column 20, lines 13-14 and 34. Both nimodipine and riluzole are characterized as agents for use in the treatment of stroke. Therefore, in view of the combined teachings of Yrjanheikki and Lai, one skilled in the neurology art would have been motivated to

Application/Control Number: 10/788,478

Art Unit: 1614

prepare a composition comprising at least two compounds selected from the group consisting of an inhibitor of microglial activation, such as doxycycline and minocycline, an antiglutaminergic agent, such as riluzole, and a voltage-gated calcium channel blocker, such as nimodipine, for treating symptoms of stroke. Such would have been obvious because in addition to Yrjanheikki's disclosure that minocycline provides stroke protection, Lai teaches both riluzole and nimodipine are known to be useful agents for treating stroke. It is generally *prima facie* obvious to use in combination two or more ingredients that have been used separately for the same purpose. In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA). The determination of an optimal dosing regimen is a parameter well within the purview of those skilled in the art through no more than routine experimentation.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:30 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Chris Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1614

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phyllis G. Spivacle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614 PHYLLIS SPIVACK

July 24, 2005